



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,364	01/23/2004	Roger Maitland	Q102939	4471
23373 7590 06/29/2011 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER TRAN, ELLEN C	
			ART UNIT 2433	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 06/29/2011	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM
USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

David J. Cushing
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON DC 20037

MAILED

JUN 28 2011

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: MAITLAND, Roger et. al.
Application No. **10/762,364**
Filed: January 23, 2004
Docket No. Q102939
Title: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR
PARALLEL IMPLEMENTATIONS OF
TABLE LOOK-UPS AND CIPHERING

DECISION ON PETITION TO
WITHDRAW HOLDING OF
ABANDONMENT UNDER 37
C.F.R. § 1.181

This is a decision on petition filed **May 18, 2011** under 37 CFR § 1.181 to invoke Supervisory Authority of the Commissioner to seek relief from Examiner's action in relation to Notice of Abandonment mailed April 22, 2011, namely, requesting the withdrawal of the notice of abandonment.

This petition is **GRANTED**.

REGULATION AND PROCEDURES

MPEP § 711.03(b) Holding Based on Failure To Reply Within Period

When an amendment reaches the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office after the expiration of the period for reply and there is no dispute as to the dates involved, no question of reconsideration of a holding of abandonment can be presented. However, the examiner and the applicant may disagree as to the date on which the period for reply commenced to run or ends. In this situation, as in the situation involving sufficiency of reply, the applicant may take issue with the examiner and point out to him or her that his or her holding was erroneous

§ 1.136 Extensions of time.

(a) (1) If an applicant is required to reply within a nonstatutory or shortened statutory time period, applicant may extend the time period for reply up to the earlier of the expiration of any maximum period set by statute or **five months** after the time period set for reply, if a petition for an extension of time and the fee set in § 1.17(a) are filed..

DECISION

Upon careful review of the file prosecution history, the above mentioned abandonment is deemed premature and hereby withdrawn.

For the reason(s) above-mentioned this petition is hereby **granted**.

Notice of Abandonment mailed April 22, 2011 is hereby **withdrawn**.

Application will be forwarded to respective Technical Support Staff for withdrawal of Notice of Abandonment mailed April 22, 2011. Application has been forwarded to examiner for proper treatment of Applicant's response mailed Supplemental Appeal Brief filed May 18, 2011, issuance of corresponding office action in due course.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Chau T. Nguyen, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-3126.

/Beatriz Prieto/ for Chau T. Nguyen

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400,
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security